

RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATIONS FOR CONSULTING SERVICES AND PROVISION OF A SOLUTION PROVIDER FOR IMPLEMENTING AN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM (MIS) FOR THE OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL (OAG)

Ref: MoFPED/FINMAP/III/SRVCS (CONSY)/17-18/00061

BMZ No. 201365857

#	Clarifications	FINMAP's Responses
1.	<p>Page 4, paragraph 12: International consultants are encouraged to partner with local firms.</p> <p>Kindly clarify to what degree preference will be given to International consultants that partner with local firms?</p>	<p>In the stage of EoI there is no specific preference, however in the case of equally scoring EoI a local partner will be a qualifying aspect.</p>
2.	<p>Page 3, paragraph 8, point X Fleet Management is listed as a requirement.</p> <p>Kindly place this in context; we just want to be able to determine if the Asset Management Module would not be sufficient. Does the OAG require functionality for maintenance of vehicles, such as job cards, oil and fuel usage, distances travelled, accident and damage reports, license renewals</p>	<p>The additional functionality as listed by you (maintenance of vehicles, such as job cards, oil and fuel usage, distances travelled, accident and damage reports, license renewals) are part of the requested scope.</p>

Name: Patrick Kagaba

Position: Head of Procurement

Signature:

Date: 30 April 2018

<p>3</p>	<p>What is preferred? An on - premise solution or a cloud solution? This is very important for us to understand at this stage as with a cloud solution hardware will not be required, no hardware installation has to be performed and support and maintenance of hardware will also not be necessary.</p>	<p>We do understand your issue, however both options are acceptable. In this stage of the EoI, both options are still open and we think that the decision is not relevant for this phase, as an offer of the solution is not expected yet. We advise you to present both options in your references. If you require to partner with a third party for the hardware, it is not an issue to leave this partner out a final bid, when you decide to offer a cloud solution, in the case you are shortlisted.</p>
<p>4</p>	<p>References: Some of our clients consider the value of their projects as confidential information and we cannot breach confidentiality. Because we fully understand why you need the values, we thought we could ask our clients if we can at least indicate the value by means of value ranges. For example: \$1Million-\$5Million; \$5Million - \$10Million; >\$10Million. Would it be acceptable if we indicate the value of projects completed by means of ranges? Perhaps you can suggest an alternative structure for the value ranges?</p>	<p>The following ranges are acceptable in the EoI:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 0 – \$0.5 Million• \$0,5 - \$2 Million• \$2Million-\$5Million• \$5Million - \$10Million• >\$10Million

Name: Patrick Kagaba

Position: Head of Procurement

Signature:



Date: 30 April 2018